

## **Release Statement**

### **Modelled gridded population estimates for Mongala Province in the Democratic Republic of Congo version 4.3.**

29 August 2025

## **Abstract**

This data release provides gridded population estimates (spatial resolution of 3 arc-seconds, approximately 100-metre grid cells) for Mongala province in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), along with estimates of the number of people belonging to various age-sex groups. The project team used the Pre-Distribution Registration Survey (PDRS) data from the National Malaria Control Programme (PNLP) collected as part of anti-malarial campaigns in the DRC for 2023, settlement extent and geospatial covariates to model and estimate population numbers at grid cell level using a Bayesian statistical hierarchical modelling framework. The approach facilitated simultaneous accounting for the multiple levels of variability within the data. It also allowed the quantification of uncertainties in parameter estimates. These model-based population estimates can be considered as most accurately representing the year 2023. This time period corresponds to the PDRS survey date for Mongala. Although the methods were robust enough to explicitly account for key random biases within the datasets, it is noted that systematic biases, which may arise from sources other than random errors within the observed data collection process, are most likely to remain.

These data were produced by the WorldPop Research Group at the University of Southampton. This work was part of the GRID3 – Phase 2 Scaling project, with funding from the Gates Foundation (INV-044979). Project partners included GRID3 Inc, the Center for Integrated Earth System Information (CIESIN) within the Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, and WorldPop at the University of Southampton. The final statistical modelling was designed, developed, and implemented by Krishnaveni KS with support from Ortis Yankey. Data processing was done by Krishnaveni KS and Tom Abbott with additional support from Heather Chamberlain. Project oversight was done by Attila Lazar, Chris Nnanatu and Andy Tatem. The PDRS data from the malaria insecticide treated net (ITN) distribution campaigns was collected, processed, anonymised and shared by the PNL and its implementing partners. The settlement extent data was prepared and shared by CIESIN (2024). The data has been clipped to GRID3-CIESIN health area extent (version 6.0) (CIESIN, 2025).

*The authors followed rigorous procedures designed to ensure that the used data, the applied method and thus the results are appropriate and of reasonable quality. If users encounter apparent errors or misstatements, they should contact WorldPop at [release@worldpop.org](mailto:release@worldpop.org).*

*WorldPop, University of Southampton, and their sponsors offer these data on a "where is, as is" basis; do not offer an express or implied warranty of any kind; do not guarantee the quality, applicability, accuracy, reliability or completeness of any data provided; and shall not be liable for incidental, consequential, or special damages arising out of the use of any data that they offer. These data are operational population estimates and are not official government statistics.*

## **RELEASE CONTENT**

1. COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4.3\_gridded.zip
2. COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4.3\_agesex.zip

## **LICENSE**

These data may be redistributed following the terms of a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

## **SUGGESTED CITATION**

Krishnaveni K S, Yankey O., Nnanatu C., Abbott T. J., Chamberlain H., Lazar A. N., Tatem A. J. 2025. Bottom-up gridded population estimates for Mongala province in the Democratic Republic of Congo (2023), version 4.3. WorldPop, University of Southampton. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00834>

## **FILE DESCRIPTIONS**

The projection for all GIS files is the geographic coordinate system WGS84 (World Geodetic System 1984).

### **COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4\_3\_gridded.tif**

This geotiff raster contains estimates of total population size for each approximately 100-metre grid cell (0.0008333 decimal degrees grid) across Mongala province. The values are the mean of the posterior probability distribution for the predicted population size in each grid cell. Grid cells within the national boundary with values of NA represent areas that were mapped as unsettled according to building footprints data, while any other NA values represent areas mapped as being outside national boundary.

### **COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4\_3\_lower.tif**

This geotiff raster contains estimates of the lower bound credible interval (2.5% CI) for each grid cell across Mongala. The values are the 2.5% posterior probability distribution for the predicted population size in each grid cell. The lower bound estimates cannot be summed across grid cells to produce a lower credible interval measure for a multi-cell area. Grid cells within the national boundary with values of NA represent areas that were mapped as unsettled according to building footprints data, while any other NA values represent areas mapped as being outside national boundary.

#### **COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4\_3\_upper.tif**

This geotiff raster contains estimates of the upper bound credible interval (97.5% CI) for each grid cell across Mongala. The values are the 97.5% posterior probability distribution for the predicted population size in each grid cell. The upper bound estimates cannot be summed across grid cells to produce an upper bound credible interval measure for a multi-cell area. Grid cells within the national boundary with values of NA represent areas that were mapped as unsettled according to building footprints data, while any other NA values represent areas mapped as being outside national boundary.

#### **COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4\_3\_agesex.zip**

This zip file contains 40 geotiff rasters at a spatial resolution of 3 arc-seconds (approximately 100-metre grid cells). Each raster provides gridded population estimates for an age-sex group per grid cell across Mongala. We provide 36 rasters for the commonly reported age-sex groupings of sequential age classes for males and females separately. These are labelled with either an “m”(male) or an “f” (female) followed by the number of the first year of the age class represented by the data. “f0” and “m0” are population counts of under 1-year olds for females and males, respectively. “f1” and “m1” are population counts of 1 to 4 year olds for females and males, respectively. Over 4 years old, the age groups are in five year bins labelled with a “5”, “10”, etc. Eighty year olds and over are represented by the groups “f80” and “m80”. We provide four additional rasters that represent demographic groups often targeted by programmes and interventions. These are “under1” (all females and males under the age of 1), “under5” (all females and males under the age of 5), “under15” (all females and males under the age of 15) and “f15\_49” (all females between the ages of 15 and 49, inclusive). These data were produced using age-sex proportions from the 2024 WorldPop Global subnational population pyramids for the DRC. The age-sex proportions are available per a given province. The age-sex proportions were applied to the gridded population estimates (COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4\_3\_gridded.tif) to allocate the population to the different age-sex classes. While this data represents population counts, values contain decimals, i.e. fractions of people. This is because both the input population data and age-sex proportions contain decimals. For this reason, it is advised to aggregate the rasters at a coarser scale. For example, if four grid cells next to each other have

values of 0.25 this indicates that there is 1 person of that age group somewhere in those four grid cells.

## RELEASE HISTORY

Version 4.3 (29 August 2025)

- This is a minor update for Mongala province data [doi: 10.5258/SOTON/WP00834]. The data was produced by clipping the data to GRID3-CIESIN health area extent (version 6.0) (CIESIN, 2025). Otherwise, the estimated total population and the model design and methodology have not changed since version 4.2
- This data is released as part of a collection of population estimates for 17 DRC provinces: <https://wopr.worldpop.org/?COD/Population/v4.3>

Version 4.2 (13 March 2025)

- Original release of data for Mongala province [doi: 10.5258/SOTON/WP00785]. This data release utilizes operational National Malaria Control Programme data, composite openly accessible building footprint datasets and a new mastergrid.
- This data is released as part of a collection of population estimates for 11 DRC provinces: <https://wopr.worldpop.org/?COD/Population/v4.2>

## ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

These population estimates most likely represent the year 2023, but because of the different ages of the input data used to build the model, a more precise time point cannot be assigned. The PDRS data that was used as the response variable was collected in 2023, while geospatial covariates data were collected from different time periods between 2020 and 2023. Similarly, the CIESIN settlement layers were produced in 2024. The inherent heterogeneity in the temporal alignment of these datasets used in the modelling may introduce uncertainties and potential inaccuracies in the modelling process.

Data on population per household (household size), collected during ITN distribution campaigns, was aggregated to calculate total population count for a given spatial unit. Given that the number of ITNs received by a household is proportional to the household size, there is an incentive for respondents to potentially inflate counts of population per household. The presence of inflated household sizes in the input population data would likely introduce systematic biases in the modelled estimates.

The statistical model predicted unrealistic population estimates for some grid cells with fewer building counts based on the CIESIN building count layer. These grid cells are mostly in rural and remote areas and include those with the following coordinates: (21°16'58.443"E 1°54'31.45"N), (21°26'22.429"E 2°18'55.605"N), (22°41'16.826"E 2°45'25.253"N). Although the population count in these locations is not very high, they appear to be inflated when we match the population count against the number of buildings per the CIESIN layer. Some of the reasons for these seemingly overinflated population numbers come from the PNLP data which seem inflated in these locations and potential residual errors not accounted for by the model.

The model does not account for external factors such as migration, displacement, or sudden demographic changes, which could significantly influence population dynamics.

Grid cell alignment is based on a mastergrid. Note that this version's (v4.3) mastergrid aligns with versions 4.1 and 4.2 but does not align with previous DRC gridded population layers, namely versions v1.0, v2.0, v3.0. We updated the mastergrid in 2024 to ensure grid cell alignment across all new WorldPop data products.

## **SOURCE DATA**

The key datasets used to produce the modelled population estimates are:

### **PDRS Data**

The input population dataset used for the population modelling for Mongala province was the PDRS malaria bednet campaign data. The PDRS dataset, which was collected in 2023, provided detailed information on a given household for which a bednet was issued, such as the household size, the number of bednets issued, the number of children in the household, the number of males, and the number of females, among others. The median household size was 5 individuals per household.

Although the malaria bednet campaign was designed to distribute bednet to every household within the province, a preliminary exploratory data analysis carried out on the PDRS data indicated that some households were not visited during the campaign, while others were not completely covered.

The GPS points of all households within the Mongala province were provided in the PDRS data. We implemented population modelling for small spatial units, utilising unofficial boundaries similar to census Enumeration Areas ("pre-EAs"; Qader et al., 2024). The household-level data on population counts was spatially aggregated to these spatial units, by summing the household size data for all GPS points within each pre-EA boundary.

## Settlement Data

Settlement data was provided by CIESIN in the form of raster files (CIESIN, 2024). We obtained two different settlement products, namely (i) settlement area, which indicates the area of a grid cell that is settled; and (ii) building count, which is the number of buildings within a given cell. Each of these settlement layers was used in separate analyses together with the observed population count and ancillary geospatial data in a robust statistical modelling. After using each settlement layer in the analysis, we compared model metrics based on using each of the two layers separately, and the layer with the best fit model metrics was selected for model predictions at the grid cells. Building count settlement layer was selected as the best layer for Mongala. This was used in the final population predictions at grid cell level.

## Geospatial Covariates

A wide variety of geospatial covariates, which are related to population distribution, were considered in the modelling. These geospatial covariates include land uses and land cover data, climate variables such as temperature and rainfall, physical features and infrastructure such as roads and schools, and conflict data. Population model covariates were selected using a generalized linear model (GLM) – based stepwise selection method. The selected covariates were further accessed for multi-collinearity and statistical significance. Eventually, of the 85 geospatial covariates initially tested, 15 were retained as the best fit covariates with variance inflation factor (VIF) of less than 5. The descriptions of these final geospatial covariates are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Selected geospatial covariates for the modelling

Description	Source	Link/Reference
Coefficient of variation – building area	WorldPop	Woods et al (2024)
Coefficient of variation – building count	WorldPop	Woods et al (2024)
Euclidean distance to cropland, natural vegetation	WorldPop	Woods et al (2024)
Euclidean distance to water bodies 2020	WorldPop	Woods et al (2024)
Euclidean distance to tree covers 2020	WorldPop	Woods et al (2024)
Coefficient of variation – Google building length	Google	<a href="https://sites.research.google/open-buildings/">https://sites.research.google/open-buildings/</a>
Coefficient of variation – Microsoft building length	Microsoft	<a href="https://github.com/microsoft/RoadDetections">https://github.com/microsoft/RoadDetections</a>
Mean – Google building length	Google	<a href="https://sites.research.google/open-buildings/">https://sites.research.google/open-buildings/</a>
Euclidean distance to cities 2020	Malaria Project Atlas	<a href="https://malariaatlas.org/explorer/#/">https://malariaatlas.org/explorer/#/</a>



Mean – Burnt Area 2021	Copernicus	<a href="https://land.copernicus.eu/global/products/ba">https://land.copernicus.eu/global/products/ba</a>
Euclidean distance to ACLED riot locations 2022	ACLED	<a href="https://acleddata.com/">https://acleddata.com/</a>
Euclidean distance to ACLED strategic development locations 2022	ACLED	<a href="https://acleddata.com/">https://acleddata.com/</a>
Count – ACLED violence against civilians 2022	ACLED	<a href="https://acleddata.com">https://acleddata.com</a>
Euclidean distance to OSM Roads 2023	OSM	<a href="https://www.openstreetmap.org">https://www.openstreetmap.org</a>
Euclidean distance to OSM main roads 2023	OSM	<a href="https://www.openstreetmap.org">https://www.openstreetmap.org</a>

### Age-Sex Proportions

We used the 2024 WorldPop Global subnational population pyramids (Bondarenko et al 2025) to calculate the age-sex proportions for Mongala. We multiplied our gridded population estimates (COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4\_3\_gridded.tif) by the gridded age-sex proportions to produce COD\_Mongala\_province\_population\_v4.3\_agesex.zip.

## METHODS OVERVIEW

The key steps of our approach were as follows:

- Cleaning and summarizing the household sizes from the PDRS dataset to get the total population at the pre- Enumeration Area (pre-EA) level (Qader et al. 2024). PDRS data point with household sizes of 0 were also imputed using the median household size.
- Geospatial covariates were subjected to robust covariate selection for model training and parameter estimation.
- We developed a hierarchical Bayesian statistical model using the INLA-SPDE approach (Lindgren et al. 2011) to fit and predict the population count.
- Population estimates were predicted at grid cell level using the grid cell values of the covariates selected at the model training level.

### Statistical Modelling

In general, within the context of bottom-up population modelling (Leasure et al. 2022, Boo et al., 2022; Darin et al., 2022, Nnanatu et al. 2022), the observed population count at area unit  $k$ ,  $y_k$ , is a Poisson distributed random variable with mean parameter  $\lambda_k = \bar{d}_k B_k$  where  $k$  is the estimation unit (e.g., enumeration area), while  $\bar{d}_k$  and  $B_k$  are the mean

parameter of the corresponding population density and the number of buildings/settled area, respectively. That is,

$$y_k \sim \text{Poisson}(\bar{d}_k B_k) \quad (1)$$

Then, the transformed mean population density  $\bar{d}_k$  is assumed to be linked to a set of geospatial covariates with log-link function:

$$\log(\bar{d}_k) = \mu + \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j x_{kj} + \sum_{l=1}^L f_l(z_{kl}) \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu$  is the intercept parameter,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_J)$  is a vector of fixed effects coefficients of the  $(x_1, \dots, x_J)$  geospatial covariates;  $f_l(\cdot)$  is a function of  $L$  random effects covariates including those that capture variability in the population estimates due to settlement type, cluster location and spatial autocorrelations. The population density (defined as people per building or people per settled area) is assumed to be a Gamma distributed random variable with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  with mean and variance given by  $\bar{d}_k = \alpha/\gamma$  and  $\sigma_d^2 = \alpha/\gamma^2$ , respectively.

The inclusion of spatial autocorrelation requires the use of computationally efficient statistical modelling software. Thus, the integrated nested Laplace approximation (INLA; Rue et al 2009; Lindgren et al., 2011) is used via the R-INLA statistical package. Note that the method described above predicts population count at regular grid cells using the parameter values trained at the cluster/pre-EA level by calculating the predicted grid-cell level population density as

$$\hat{d}_g = \exp\left(\hat{\mu} + \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{\beta}_j x_{gj} + \sum_{l=1}^L \hat{f}_l(z_{gl})\right) \quad (3)$$

where  $\{x_g\}_{g=1}^G$  are the corresponding grid cell level values of the geospatial covariates used in training the model at the cluster level, so that the overall predicted population count across the  $G$  100m by 100m grid cells is given by

$$\widehat{pop} = \sum_{g=1}^G B_g \hat{d}_g \quad (4)$$

where  $B_g$  is the corresponding building count or the size of settled area in grid  $g$ . We assumed default INLA priors for each of the parameter estimates which have been found to be robust.



In this study, we approached the population modelling using two competing settlement layers, i.e., building count and building area to define population density. Thus, we had two separate models. In the first model, population density was defined as people per building count, and in the second model, population density was defined as people per settled area. These two models were fitted, and the best model based on model metrics was selected for the final predictions.

#### *Model fit checks*

Model fit checks and model selection of the two models described above using the two settlement layers relied primarily on a constellation of model fit metrics, including the absolute bias (BIAS), the Mean Absolute Error (MAE), the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and the Pearson correlation coefficient (CORR). A lower value for the absolute bias, MAE and the RMSE indicates a better-fit model. A higher value for the Pearson correlation coefficient indicates a better-fit model. Table 2 below provides the model-fit metrics across the two settlement layers. Based on the model fit checks, settlement building count provided the best fit, and the final population predictions at the grid cell level were based on this model.

Table 2. Model fit metrics

<b>Settlement Data</b>	<b>BIAS</b>	<b>MAE</b>	<b>RMSE</b>	<b>Corr</b>
Area	-34.79	442.14	443.46	0.922
Building Count	-18.012	405.88	406.498	0.928

The novelty of the modelling approach utilised here is that it allows for the adjustment of potential systematic bias in the input population data within a coherent Bayesian hierarchical population modelling framework while at the same time adjusting for spatial autocorrelation within the observed data.

All data processing and analysis was carried out using R (v.4.3.2) (R Core Team, 2023) and INLA (v 22.05.07) (Rue et al. 2009). The concept of bottom-up population modelling for estimating population in the absence of recent census data was described by Leasure et al. (2020). Approaches similar to the one used here for Mongala have been carried out for Papua New Guinea (WorldPop and NSO PNG, 2022) and Cameroun (Nnanatu et al. 2022).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the DRC PNLP and its implementing partners for providing access to the anonymised household data collected during malaria ITN distribution campaigns, in accordance with the relevant data sharing agreements. The whole WorldPop group are acknowledged for overall project support. We thank Attila Lazar and Heather Chamberlain for reviewing the data and providing thoughtful suggestions prior to this release.

## WORKS CITED

- Bondarenko M., Priyatikanto R., Tejedor-Garavito N., Zhang W., McKeen T., Cunningham A., Woods T., Hilton J., Cihan D., Nosatiuk B., Brinkhoff T., Tatem A., Sorichetta A. (2025) Constrained estimates of 2015-2030 total number of people per grid square broken down by gender and age groupings at a resolution of 3 arc (approximately 100m at the equator) R2024B version v1. Global Demographic Data Project - Funded by The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (INV-045237). WorldPop - School of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Southampton. DOI:10.5258/SOTON/WP00805
- Boo, G., Darin, E., Leasure, D. R., Dooley, C. A., Chamberlain, H. R., Lázár, A. N., ... & Tatem, A. J. (2022). High-resolution population estimation using household survey data and building footprints. *Nature communications*, 13(1), 1330.
- Center for Integrated Earth System Information (CIESIN), Columbia University, Ministère de la Santé Publique, Hygiène et Prévention, Democratic Republic of the Congo, and GRID3. 2025. GRID3 COD - Health Areas v6.0. New York: Columbia University. <https://doi.org/10.7916/k2zk-2j78>
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN), Columbia University. 2024. GRID3 COD - Settlement Extents v3.0 alpha. Unpublished.
- Darin, E., Kuépié, M., Bassinga, H., Boo, G., Tatem, A. J., & Reeve, P. (2022). The Population Seen from Space: When Satellite Images Come to the Rescue of the Census. *Population*, 77(3), 437-464.
- Flowminder Foundation, École de Santé Publique de Kinshasa (ESPK), WorldPop (University of Southampton), Bureau Central du Recensement (BCR). 2021. Microcensus survey in the provinces of Haut-Katanga, Haut-Katanga, Ituri, Kasaï,

Kasaï-Oriental, Lomami, and Sud-Kivu (Democratic Republic of the Congo). Version 1.5. [Dataset].

INS (2017), *Enquête par grappes à indicateurs multiples, 2017-2018, rapport de résultats de l'enquête*. Kinshasa, République Démocratique du Congo.

Leasure, D.R., Jochem, W.C., Weber, E.M., Seaman, V., & Tatem, A.J. (2020). High resolution population mapping with limited survey data: a hierarchical Bayesian modelling framework to account for uncertainty. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(39): 24173–24179.

Lindgren, F., Rue, H., & Lindström, J. (2011). An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: The stochastic partial differential equation approach. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 73(4), 423–498

Nnanatu C.C., Yankey O., Abbott T. J., Lazar A. N., Darin E., Tatem A. J. 2022 Bottom-up gridded population estimates for Cameroon (2022), version 1.0. <https://dx.doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00784>

Qader S H, Batana Y. M., Kosmidou-Bradley W., Skoufias E., Tatem A. J. 2024. Automatic pre-Enumeration Areas (pre-EAs) delineation and national sampling frame for the Democratic Republic of Congo. Policy Research Working Paper; No. (under review). [DRC - Automatic Pre-Enumeration Area Delineation for National Sample Frame Data Report | Data Catalog \(worldbank.org\)](#)

R Core Team. 2023. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org>.

Rue, H., Martino, S., & Chopin, N. 2009. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the royal statistical society:Series b (statistical methodology)*, 71(2), 319-392

UCLA-DRC Health Research and Training Program (University of California, Los Angeles) and Kinshasa School of Public Health. 2017 and 2018. Kinshasa, Kongo Central and former Bandundu microcensus survey data.

D. Woods, T. McKeen, A. Cunningham, R. Priyakanto, A. Soricheta , A.J. Tatem and M. Bondarenko. 2024 "WorldPop high resolution, harmonised annual global geospatial

covariates. Version 1.0" University of Southampton: Southampton, UK.  
DOI:10.5258/SOTON/WP00772

WorldPop and National Statistical Office of Papua New Guinea. 2022. Census-independent population estimates for Papua New Guinea (2020-21), version 1.0. WorldPop, University of Southampton. DOI: 10.5258/SOTON/WP00763